



# Sentinel

## THEOPHOSTICS: UNBIBLICAL TEACHING WEDDED TO MYSTICAL EXPERIENCE

**PASTOR BOB DEWAAY** (Published with permission. Originally published in *Critical Issues Commentary Issue Number 79, Nov/Dec 2003*)

"Seeing that His divine power has granted to us everything pertaining to life and godliness, through the true knowledge of Him who called us by His own glory and excellence." (2Peter 1:3)

A few weeks ago my wife and I were driving to the house of some close friends, listening to a tape of Dr. Ed Smith, the founder of Theophostic counseling, who was preaching at a large evangelical church in our city.<sup>1</sup> As we listened, my wife asked, "what kind of sermon is this?" The sermon was filled with psychological terminology, a sprinkling of Scripture giving 21st century psychological meanings to 1st century concepts, and many anecdotes to convince the listeners that every one of them needed "Theophostic" ministry. After some time my wife looked at me and said, "Why are these people listening to this? We heard this 25 years ago."

As we continued to listen we heard about "performance based spirituality," "lie-based pain," "first memory interpretation," and other concepts that have nothing to do with anything Christ or the Apostles teach in scriptures. Smith claims that our negative emotional responses are caused by memories of the first time something similar happened earlier in life. As I listened I had a very negative response, so maybe Smith was onto something. I felt angered that heresy dressed as therapy was being pushed on well meaning Christians under the guise of God's word

in a "sermon." I was distressed that people were being put under bondage by his suggesting that if they feel upset about losing their job then they have no genuine faith that "God will supply" all their needs. I was dismayed at his claim that if they behave more cheerfully in church than they do in their car on the way there, then they are guilty of practicing "performance based spirituality." I was outraged at the suggestion that every negative emotion is proof that we need counseling and that if we say we do not need the counseling we are just "putting on a performance" and are like Martha and not Mary. Sure enough I was experiencing the same emotions now as I did 25 years ago listening to similar false teaching.

### THEOPHOSTICS AND ITS KEY PREMISE

Theophostics is false teaching dressed in psychological garb: that is the point of this article and the premise I will defend for the rest of this paper.

Dr. Edward M. Smith, the inventor/founder of Theophostics Ministry (formerly TheoPhostics Counseling) claims that through Theophostics, people are delivered from emotional pain, totally and permanently.<sup>2</sup> Once

According to TheoPhostic Prayer Ministries (<http://www.theophostic.com>): "This approach to Prayer Ministry is being used by thousands of people around the world who are reporting wonderful results. Pastors, Professional Counselors, and Lay Ministers worldwide have reported our training to be highly effective in bringing relief to people with a wide variety of duress..."

"TheoPhostic Prayer Ministry acknowledges that Jesus Christ is the only one who can truly release the wounded person from their emotional/mental bondage. This does not invalidate the role of the counselor/minister as a listener, intercessor and/or instructor of biblical knowledge and godly living, but acknowledges that apart from Christ we are ineffective in accomplishing God's desire for bringing lasting peace and genuine victory to the wounded soul. TheoPhostic (God's Light) is all about Jesus."


(Now be the judge of these words after you have read the Bob DeWaay's article. Editor of *Sentinel*)

free from emotional pain, these individuals can break free from sin habits supposedly caused by their "lie-based thinking," and live free from emotional pain without effort of maintenance.

These results cannot be obtained through what Smith calls "cognitive truth" (understood by the mind), but can be obtained through "experiential truth" (found in subjective experience) in which a person is brought back to the first memory of a similar emotionally painful experience and receives personal revelation from the Spirit of Christ about that experience.

According to Smith, people who repent and obey God without having this experience are guilty of "performance based spirituality," and are merely masking their "lie-based pain." So the former alcoholic who quits drinking, but

(Continued on page 6)



*'n Interkerklike Evangelisasie en Uitreik-aksie/An Interdenominational Evangelization Outreach*  
*Sentinel* is die tydskrif van **KIES** en word kwartaaliks gepubliseer om die gemeenskap in te lig en te waarsku teen misleiding, die ware Evangelie te verkondig en die Kerk bewus te maak van die gevare wat die dwaalleer van die kultes en andersins inhou. *Sentinel* is the mouthpiece of **KIES** and is published quarterly to inform and warn the community against deception, to spread the true Gospel and to alert the Church against the heresy of the cults and otherwise.  
*Skryfaanhalings, tensy anders vermeld, is uit die 1983 Afrikaanse Vertaling/Scripture quotations, unless stated otherwise, are from the NASB.*  
**KIES** funksioneer as 'n arm van die Ned Geref Gemeente Edleen en is verantwoordelik vir eie finansiering en is dus ten volle afhanklik van die vrywillige donasies van *Sentinel* se lesers en ander ondersteuners.

### Disclaimer

The opinions or views expressed or implied in this publication are those of the relevant author(s) and, unless clearly stated to the contrary, do not constitute the opinion or view of **KIES**, its controlling body, management or contributors.

## Redaksioneel/Editorial

### This Issue

Several nights ago I received a call. The caller, whose name I have forgotten had surfed our website and was impressed with what he had read.

He wanted to liaise with **KIES**. During our discussion he mentioned that he was using TheoPhostics (previously known as TheoPhostic Counselling) with great success.

I advised him to read Martin and Diedre Bobgans book to get another perspective. He was not interested on any negative opinions and this ended our discussion. He has not contacted me again.

This book *TheoPhostic Counseling: Divine Revelation OR Psycho Heresy?*, Eastgate Publishers, Santa Barbara, 1999, is available from *The Berean Call/Discernment Ministries*, (tel: 011-425-2938, or Email: [hgevers@absamail.co.za](mailto:hgevers@absamail.co.za)).

So, when I came across the front page article of Pastor Bob DeWaay of Twin Cities Fellowship he wrote some two years ago, I thought it appropriate to place it, seeing this subject never featured in *Sentinel* before.

The centre page spread deals

briefly with the subject of **Yoga**. This fad, like many other mystical New Age and Eastern religious practices, are rapidly finding their way into Christianity and orthodox churches. Children are not safe in schools any longer as it seems our government will just about go for anything on the educational front. The article was received per E-mail, writer unknown

### Next Issue

This caller's reaction to my remark of the Bobgan's book is not strange as I have had to deal with similar reactions over the years. People have recently pointed out how wrong we are about Rick Warren and his *Purpose Driven Movement*. Like with other deceptive teachers, we also find that as more and more comes to light this man, who has made such an impact in the church and is still making, also twists the truth and largely fails to bring the Gospel. DeWaay wrote an excellent article about PDL which we will place in the next issue. His very recent book, *Redefining Christianity*, 21st Century Press, 2006 is also available through Helen Gevers. This is the most comprehensive analysis, of this *Movement* I have seen and worth every cent of the price.

## KULTESKAMP

Die vorige uitgawes het u kennis gegee van die kultesbewusmakingskamp wat ons beoog. Die kampterrein is bespreek vir die naweek

### 23-25 Februarie 2007

Die program beloop om baie insiggewend, leersaam en interessant te wees.

Beplan nou alreeds daarvoor en bid veral dat alles vlot sal verloop met ons beplanning.

\*\*\*\*\*

Editor:

Japie Grobler (*Sentinel*).

Address: P O Box 8009

EDLEEN  
 South Africa  
 1625

Tel/Fax: +27-11-394-3080

E-mail: [kies\\_ciec@telkomsa.net](mailto:kies_ciec@telkomsa.net)

Website: <http://www.gksa.org.za/kies>

Street Add: Ned Geref Kerk-Edleen  
 cnr. Amarillo & Ilex Rds  
 EDLEEN

Kempton Park

Donations: **KIES/CIEC**

Standard Bank

Midrand

Code 1155

Ac No: 20-247-350-3

**Make cheques out to: KIES**

### Advies oor Kultes/Advice about Cults:

#### Algemeen/General

Japie Grobler\* 011-394-3080

E-mail: [kies\\_ciec@telkomsa.net](mailto:kies_ciec@telkomsa.net)

Dr Henk Stoker\*

E-mail: [stoker@mweb.co.za](mailto:stoker@mweb.co.za)

Israelvisie/Israeldwaling

Louis Kruger Tel: 082-336-2597

Jehova se Getuies/Jehovah's Witnesses

Christine Haarhoff Tel: 012-661-8542

E-mail: [ngkrhk@mweb.co.za](mailto:ngkrhk@mweb.co.za)

Japie Grobler\* (Sien bo/See above)

Dr Henk Stoker\* (Sien bo/See above)

Nuwe/Ou Apostels/New Old Apostles

Japie Grobler\* Tel: 011-394-3080

Kittie Pienaar Tel: 011-679-4898

E-mail: [pinekit@mweb.co.za](mailto:pinekit@mweb.co.za)

Psigiese Beheer/Mind Control &

Uitleidingsberading/Exit Counselling

Dr Stephan Pretorius\*

Tel: 012-542-4172

E-mail: [pretosp@unisa.ac.za](mailto:pretosp@unisa.ac.za)

Dr Rienie Venter

E-mail: [ventema@unisa.ac.za](mailto:ventema@unisa.ac.za)

New Age & Onderwys

Sarel vd Merwe Tel: 011-472-6964

E-mail: [sarelwtl@telkomsa.net](mailto:sarelwtl@telkomsa.net)

Satanism/Occultism

Ado Krige Tel: 083-226-2319

E-mail: [ado@intekom.co.za](mailto:ado@intekom.co.za)

Vrymesselary/Freemasonry

Japie Grobler\*


\* Indicates Board Members

### Om in te teken/To subscribe

*Sentinel* is tans nog gratis beskikbaar. Dit word moontlik gemaak deur die gereelde donasies van lesers en ondersteuners. Stuur net u naam en posadres om dit gereeld te ontvang. Ons verkies elektroniese pos aangesien dit onkoste verminder. **Skryf in per Epos.**

*Sentinel* is presently still distributed free. This is made possible through regular donations of readers and supporters. To regularly receive it merely furnish us with your name and postal address. We prefer electronic mail as this reduces expenses.

**Subscribe per E-mail.**



## Letters/Briewe

### I have been there—got the “T”-shirt

To Whom It May Concern,

I wish to make a formal complaint to the health registration bodies specifically in Queensland but extends to Australia in general.

I am a 47 year old mother of three who underwent three years of emotional and mental torture at the hands of counselling/therapy techniques used by a registered Psychologist and a freelance unaccountable therapist on the Queensland Gold Coast. These two therapists used Repressed Memory techniques, Age Regression and Theophostic Prayer Ministry techniques on me and my children. The results were devastating because 'False Memories' WERE created from these brain-washing techniques and this led to the total destruction of my immediate family and extended family. The therapists offered cures, solicited money for the therapy and encouraged me to not seek professional medical health and cut off from my family and friends. In addition I was advised to discontinue taking medication for depression which I had been taking for several years.

The registered Psychologist is Mara Moreau of 26 Beaton Street, Coopers Plains Queensland. The therapist/ counsellor on the Gold Coast is a Ms Mary Cutts of last known address 14 Lexington Drive, Worongary, Gold Coast, Queensland.

Ms Cutts is by far the most dangerous because I had 18 months of intensive repressed memory/Theophostic Prayer ministry therapy from January 2002 to September 2003. At this point I had an emotional breakdown, tried to commit suicide and lost my husband to the therapist (Ms Cutts), my only 2 daughters to the 'false memories' that were elicited and my extended family. I am currently seeing a Psychiatrist Dr Tom Hogan of Belmont Medical Centre, Brisbane, Queensland. Dr Hogan is still treating me for severe depression and is aware of the therapy techniques used by these two women. I have made numerous and substantial complaints about Ms Cutts previously but because she is unregistered and not affiliated with any body such as Psychology Boards, Counselling and Church bodies, My complaints have nowhere

to go. This WOMAN DESTROYED MY LIFE, she manipulated her way into my life through my husband and later took off with him, practised unproven techniques of repressed memory therapy and created 'false memories' that can't be co-operated, in my children and alienated me from my extended family. Yet to the best of my knowledge she is still practicing her witchcraft and magic out there in the community at large. She is potentially dangerous and it seems that I cannot hold her accountable to anyone because she runs a business offering miraculous healings and is not affiliated with any regulatory Body. There are other therapists out there who are using these questionable techniques and are doing what they like and destroying lives in the process.

This is a cry from my heart that something be changed in the way of accountability of all Health professionals and Church Ministry Practitioners. THERE NEEDS TO BE ACCOUNTABILITY IN ALL THE THERAPEUTIC PROFESSIONS. The destruction and emotional suffering created as a result of freelance therapists cannot continue to be ignored. The 'buck' has to stop somewhere. I have been tossed from pillar to post in all this and it seems because they refuse to be accountable to anyone they can practice therapies that are totally and ethically unsound. These therapists ( who are allowed to remain unregistered, collect payment for services and practice unethical therapies) are causing the most damage to the Profession of Mental Health and Counselling and they are destroying families and people's lives in the process.

Please do not ignore this issue IT IS VERY REAL, I speak for all those out there who have suffered enormously by BAD THERAPY. It has led to suicides, families being alienated and individuals having total emotional breakdowns. If you need statistical data please refer To The Australian False Memory Association (AFMA) they have been formed to help true victims of this fad of 'recovered memory'. That is those wrongly accused and the repercussions that have followed from unfounded mystically created 'memories'. We need to bring about some sort of redress to counselling methods and registration of counsellors in Australia in general and restore sanity and human decency back into the profession. Please take this letter seriously the problem is very, very REAL. If you require any other details I am more than willing to supply true facts to the whole issue of concern.

Skryf aan: Die Redakteur, *Sentinel*, Posbus 8009, EDLEEN, 1625.  
Write to: The Editor, *Sentinel*, P O Box 8009, EDLEEN, 1625  
E-pos: kies\_ciec@telkomsa.net

Thank you,  
Sincerely

Narelle Merritt  
111 Ryhill Road Sunny Bank Hills  
Queensland 4109.

Phone 3345 8249

Email: nmerritt31@optusnet.com.au

Letter posted on site:

<http://www.bibleheadquarters.org/TheoPhostics.html>

### Delighted to write

I am delighted in writing this letter to you. Here I'm with brother BHM who will be on parole before end February next year (2007). We enjoyed the MP3's and DVD's you have sent us through him. We are always blessed by God through your wonderful Ministries of alerting the Body of Christ of false gospel, false Holy Spirit and false teachers who wear sheep skin's but are wolf by nature.

I also, on behalf of mu brothers here would like to express our thanks to you, to have deliberately offer yourself and your Ministries to spiritually contribute in our lives and life, to can be equipped against the wiles of the devil that has penetrated the through the wealth and health 'gospel', the 'Faith' Movement leaders and by the so-called 'Purpose-Drive-Life' (a new age gospel in the church) and the many more

The Lord for the past years and throughout this year is been blessing us by learning everything we received from you and compare them with God's word, then bless the Lord for what we get and got from you. Really, your work in our spiritual walk will be a great reward to you in the day of the Lord Jesus Christ,

You must continue to the work of the Lord even though to other 'Christians' is unloving to preach the whole Word of God, not half, as other do and then (by doing that) increase the persecutions of you there who intend to preach the whole Bible. May the Lord God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ give you boldness to alert the Christians by speaking the truth in love.

AM

Maximum Security Facility, Bloemfontein.  
(Letter placed as received, but shortened.)

*Thank you brother AM for the words of encouragement which make us humble before the Lord. We can only thank Him for His grace, wisdom and guidance. Ed.)*

# Christian Yoga—C'mon!



Dave Hunt of *The Berean Call* has this to say (26 Dec 2006):

**"Yoga for children (in public school, that is) [Excerpts]"**

"Kids in public school are learning yoga. According to yoga instructor, Mark Blanchard, (of Progressive Power Yoga, he taught children at Colfax Elementary school in California this past November. On his blog, he states: 'I will be introducing yoga to all of the kids at the school as I donate a full yoga program.' Blanchard has been featured in many magazines such as Family Circle and Seventeen and has trained many actors and actresses (like Jennifer Lopez and Drew Barrymore). Blanchard plans to 'bring Progressive Power Yoga to as many places as I can around the states (as well as the globe) in the coming year.'

"Part of Blanchard's plans include working with Mini Yogis Yoga for Kids. On the Mini Yogis website, they list not only Blanchard's company but many other organizations as well, many of which are schools like Happy Land Preschool in Culver City and St. Monica's Elementary School in Santa Monica.

"Yoga for kids is on the rise, and if your child attends public school, you may want to check to see if they are teaching him or her yoga. A program called YogaEd provides yoga classes under the heading of 'health/wellness' programs for schools. Those programs take place in several states including California, Colorado, New York, Washington and Washington, DC.

"Parents whose kids are in Christian schools may need to be concerned too.

"More and more churches and Christian organizations are opening their doors to the practice of Yoga. And the biggest Christian publisher, Thomas Nelson, published a book titled *Yoga for Christians* earlier this year. It's just a matter of time before kids in Christian schools will be learning Yoga and eventually the art of meditation."

Yoga are Nada Yoga (music), Mantra and Japa Yoga (chanting and on beads) and Kundalini Yoga (study of the psychic centers or chakras)."[1]

Yoga has been taken out of its Hindu roots and given a whole new meaning. Subhas R. Tiwari, professor of the Hindu University of America, is not a fan of "Christian yoga" and explains why:

"Today we are witnessing an initiative toward yoga from ordinary Christians whose positive physical, mental and spiritual health and well being experienced as a result of "engaging " yoga cannot be denied or ignored. This 5,000-year-old system is perhaps the best known, most accessible and cost effective health and

**Not only are your children in danger, yoga is making its way into churches as well, and that under the guise of Christian yoga!!**

**Many pastors are unaware that some yoga practices, especially meditation to achieve an altered state of consciousness, can be spiritually damaging. If your pastor chooses to ignore your warning, you might want to consider finding a new church home.**

Yoga's the latest fad. Everyone's doing it, including Christians. Churches are now offering "Christian yoga." (An oxymoron, if there ever was one.) What's up with fitness clubs and houses of God promoting yoga? Is yoga just good exercise, or is there more to it than that? Those who practice yoga techniques—especially professed Christians—should know what they're being exposed to.

Authentic yoga spiritual/religious disciplines derive from Eastern religious beliefs. There are several different forms of yoga:

"For the Hindu, on the journey to Spiritual-Realization, the many Yoga/Hindu spiritual disciplines take into consideration all aspects of one's being. The classic Yogas are progressive in nature; i.e., Karma Yoga (ethics), Bhakti Yoga (devotion), Raja Yoga (meditation) and Jnana Yoga (inner wisdom or enlightenment).

"These are the classic four yogas within which are several other forms of Yoga. Hatha Yoga (worshipful poses), for example, is part of Raja Yoga training. Some of the other forms of

beauty program around. Yoga is also much more, as it was intended by the Vedic seers as an instrument which can lead one to apprehend the Absolute, Ultimate Reality, called the Brahman Reality, or God. If this attempt to co-opt yoga into their own tradition continues, in several decades of incessantly spinning the untruth as truth through re-labelings such as "Christian yoga," who will know that yoga is—or was—part of Hindu culture?"[2]

Government schools have embraced religious pluralism and are now offering yoga as a physical

education course, even though yoga is a fundamental part of a religious system. Granted, most schools don't teach classical yoga; they incorporate snippets of it in their exercise program. Imagine the outrage if some brave soul were to attempt to introduce as part of the physical education course, "Fitness According to God," that aims to get children to adopt biblical principles for good mind/body health. The ACLU would file a lawsuit faster than the speed of a 56K modem.

The challenge of religious pluralism is that it doesn't require knowing anything about other cultures or religions. And let's be real. Most American's don't care to learn about other cultures, which is why they're ignorant of religious belief systems. This includes Christianity, even though polls show that more than 80% of Americans say they're Christians. Uh-huh. And Muhammad Ali was the greatest prima ballerina of all time.

Here's something you probably didn't know. The Hindu community is unhappy about the effort to separate yoga from Hinduism so they've started a group called "Reclaiming Yoga." They say they've had it up to here with fitness clubs that characterize yoga as exercise. (They have no one to blame but themselves. Hindu gurus who settled in the west are responsible for the commercialization of yoga.) David Orr, columnist for the London Daily Telegraph, tells us that, "The Indian government is furious that yoga practices dating back thousands of years are being 'stolen' by gurus and fitness instructors in Europe and the United States." [3]

Yoga is a \$30 billion-a-year business in America, so the Reclaiming Yoga government task force have their work cut out for them. David Orr goes on to say, "The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has issued 134 patents on yoga accessories, 150 yoga-related copyrights and 2,315 yoga trademarks, says the Indian task force. It also says that Britain has approved at least 10 trademarks relating to yoga training aids that are mentioned in ancient texts."

Many Christians have been duped into thinking that yoga is just relaxation and exercise. Nothing could be further from the truth. Classical yoga is

intended to put one into an altered state of consciousness. Believers who think they're "just exercising" are being swept into a counterfeit religion.

Not all religions are equal, as liberals would have us believe. Orthodox Christianity teaches that there is one true God. God as the all-knowing, all-powerful being who created the universe and still rules it today. "Come, let us bow down in worship, let us kneel before the LORD our Maker; for he is our God and we are the people of his pasture, the flock under his care" (Psalm 95:6,7). Moreover, orthodox Christianity teaches that the Bible is the inspired Word of God. "I am not ashamed of the Gospel, because it is the power of God for salvation of everyone who believes" (Romans 1:16). On the other hand, "Progressive Christians" (PC's) believe that the Bible is a book of myths and legends. PC's "read the Bible symbolically or allegorically, as a collection of interesting stories to take whatever meaning out of that pleases them. This allows them to reject various portions of the Bible they disagree with. Liberals label their interpretation as a "critical" approach, which essentially allows most of their theology to consist of finding ways to criticize the Bible, rather than actually trying to determine what it says." [4]

PC's see nothing wrong with yoga. They see nothing wrong with Christian mysticism either. But that's another article.

According to God's Word, mystical practices of any sort are evil. Romans 12:9 instructs Christians to, "Hate what is evil; cling to what is good." Sooner or later the sharks will pull Christians who wade into mystic waters under. Jesus gave this warning in Matthew 7:13-14, "Enter through the narrow gate. For wide is the gate and broad is the road that leads to destruction, and many enter through it. But small is the gate and narrow the road that leads to life, and only a few find it." Eastern mysticism leads to destruction.

Professor Tiwari is an authority on classical yoga. He believes that yoga cannot be separated from its spiritual center. "The simple, immutable fact," he says, "is that yoga originated from the Vedic or Hindu culture. Its

techniques were not adopted by Hinduism, but originated from it." These facts need to be unequivocally stated in light of some of the things being written to the contrary by yoga teachers. The effort to separate yoga from Hinduism must be challenged because it runs counter to the fundamental principles upon which yoga itself is premised, the yamas (restraints) and niyamas (observances). These ethical tenets and religious practices are the first two limbs of the eight-limbed ashtanga yoga system which also includes asana (postures), pranayama (breath control), pratyahara (sense withdrawal), dharana (concentration), dhyana (meditation) and samadhi (contemplation/Self Realization). Efforts to separate yoga from its spiritual center reveal ignorance of the goal of yoga." [5]

If your church is integrating "Christian yoga" or any other New Age practice into its services, it's incumbent on you to speak up. Gently lay out your concerns to your pastor. Explain that yoga is a Hindu or Buddhist practice and has no place in a Christian church. 2 Corinthians. 6:14 says, "For what do righteousness and wickedness have in common? Or what fellowship can light have with darkness?"

Many pastors are unaware that some yoga practices, especially meditation to achieve an altered state of consciousness, can be spiritually damaging. If your pastor chooses to ignore your warning, you might want to consider finding a new church home.

To all you Christians who want to get in shape, I say halleluiah! I'm all for physical fitness. To stay in shape I've taken ballet (for the novice), jazz dance, aerobics, stretch classes, played tennis and racquetball, hiked in the mountains, and gone on brisk walks. All of these things will keep you in great shape. You don't need yoga to stay fit.

#### Footnotes:

1. Classical Yoga Hindu Academy's website.
2. Yoga Renamed Is Still Hindu By Subhas R. Tiwari
3. India makes moves to reclaim heritage from 'yoga piracy' By David Orr
4. Liberal Theology Misses Plain Truth By Rachel Alexander
5. Yoga Renamed Is Still Hindu By Subhas R. Tiwari

\*\*\*\*\*

who still has temptations to drink, is merely “performing” and is guilty of “works salvation” unless he has a mystical experience that heals the true cause of why he or she started drinking (a childhood memory and a lie based on it) and thus never has a desire to drink again, without maintenance. Then the person is truly free. Here is how Smith describes it:

Often victory is falsely equated with the cessation of a particular behavior and its replacement with a more acceptable one. For example, we may stop compulsive eating or not eating by replacing it with daily jogging . . . We might quit drinking and overcompensate with religious behavior. Any attempt to overcome our lie-based pain by adjusting our behavior is works salvation (Smith: 164).

By Smith’s definition, what used to be called “repentance” or “faithful obedience” is now “works salvation.”

A key category in Theophostics is “lie-based thinking.” Smith defines lie-based thinking as thinking based on how one interpreted his or her first memory of an event that caused pain. For example, if a woman was sexually abused in childhood and then began to believe, “I am a shameful person and this is my fault,” that is “lie-based thinking.” Smith supplies dozens of examples like this. The point of Theophostic ministry is to have the Holy Spirit cause the memory to come back in a vivid, emotional way, and then subjectively reveal to the person what the truth is. For, example, the person might hear in his or her mind (not from the counselor), “it was not your fault, you are not shameful.” That revelation cures the person of lie-based thinking and the negative emotions go away instantly and permanently. Though this is supposedly a work of the Holy Spirit, it is evidently dangerous because Smith warns his readers that they need his training before they can be involved with it (Smith: 20).

It is important to understand that “lie-based thinking” as defined by Smith is not addressed in the Bible. This category has nothing to do with what the Bible teaches about “the lie” which is in opposition to the gospel. When Jesus said, “you shall know the truth and the truth shall set you free,” he was referring to His objective teachings, not a mystical experience that changes one’s response to a childhood memory. There is no record anywhere in the Scriptures of a ministry that brings subjective revelations to a person’s past memory and then changes how they interpret the memory.

Smith gives examples of what such lies are like: “Lies such as, ‘I am bad, no good, not lovable, rejected, abandoned,

shameful, evil, and so on’ cause us to feel bad, not what happened to us” (Smith 86). Such “lies” cause the damage, not the event. The truth, however, is that the Bible itself says that we are bad, shameful, rejected and evil, if we are ashamed of the gospel and reject it (Luke 9:26). The person who had these thoughts before meeting Christ did not believe lies, but understood the Biblical truth about all who are unregenerate. We should realize how evil we really are and come to Christ through the gospel for forgiveness and freedom. The Bible never once rebukes a sinner for considering himself “evil.”

When Jesus offered to set people free, the religious leaders became offended and claimed they had never been in bondage. In fact they (like all of us) have been in bondage to sin because of believing “the lie.” The lie, as first taught by the Serpent in the Garden, is the idea that we can be like God through receiving forbidden knowledge. The lie is embraced by all who reject the gospel and will be taught by antichrist at the end of the age (2Thessalonians 2:11 in the Greek references “the lie.”).<sup>3</sup> The lie in its simplest form is that we can trust man. The truth of the gospel says we must trust God on His terms. If we believe the lie we are in bondage and headed for hell; if we believe the truth through the gospel we are free and headed for heaven. Smith’s mysticism tells Christians that if they have negative emotions it proves they are not free.

This citation will show how brazen Smith’s claims are: “Once the lies are removed from our experiential knowledge and we find perfect peace, we are in a place where we can appropriate the Word of God in our lives” (Smith: 113). This means that we need Theophostics or the equivalent first then we can understand and live out the teachings of the Bible. If this is right, then it is impossible for one to be a Berean and search the Scriptures to see if Smith’s claims are true. A person would have to first blindly submit to Theophostic counseling, get rid of his or her emotional pain stemming from first memory experiences and the resultant lie-based pain and then when sufficiently free from “lie-based thinking,” one could get something out of the Bible.

Elsewhere Smith bristles at the fact that some people have written warnings about his teachings without first having gone through all the training (of course paying for it) and then watching the results (Smith: 138). He seeks to Teflon coat himself from correction by implying that all who disagree simply have not had Theophostic ministry or asked his

permission to disagree (Smith: 137). That is like saying you would have to become a Mormon and experience what the Mormon church prescribes before you could discern if it is wrong or not. The Bible then cannot correct Theophostic teaching because those of us who study the Bible without having had Theophostic ministry are simply stuck in our cognitive “data base of truth” and cannot understand the Bible experientially.

The key premise of Theophostic teaching is repeated over a dozen times in Smith’s book. It is this: “Everything we know, feel, or are mentally aware of has its roots in a first-time experience” (Smith: 31). He further explains, “For emotional healing, we need to identify three basic elements: the present emotional pain, the original memory containers; and the original lie (s)” (Smith: 32). He repeats this later like this:

Once the original experience is recorded, with its emotional response and belief interpretation, it changes very little over time, even with the accumulation of additional data that is contrary. This original experience becomes the grid from which all similar additional life experiences are measured, interpreted, and emotionally experienced (Smith: 70).

For Theophostics to have any validity, this premise must be true. If it cannot be proven, then Theophostics has no point because finding the first memory and invoking a subjective revelation to reinterpret it is what this ministry is all about. At the end of this article we will return to this premise and discuss its validity.

#### **BILLIONS AND BILLIONS OF CLIENTS**

The key idea in marketing is to create a need for your product in the mind of a potential client, the more clients the better. Smith has made the whole world population potential clients by claiming that any “lie-based thinking” is proof of our need for Theophostic healing (or something of the same ilk under another name). He asks, “Think over the last few weeks. Were there any moments in which you were frustrated, stressed, angered, worried, anxious, taxed, upset, fearful, hateful, argumentative, defeated, or pressured? If so, there was probably a lie at the source of these emotions” (Smith 95).

Other proofs are used to show that we are in bondage to “lie-based thinking” and need Theophostics: 1) if we do well 2) if we do badly. Those who do well are performance-based people trying to cover their pain. Those who sin overtly are acting out in their pain in an attempt to dull or escape it. If you are human and have emotions, you need Theophostics!

*(Theophostics: Continued from page 6)*

If you say you do not, you are in denial. Smith writes, "Some of us deny and hide our lie-based thinking better than others, but we all need God's truth to find healing" (Smith: 64 – remember that "God's truth" in Theophostics is a subjective experience that reinterprets a memory; it is not the objective teaching of the Bible).

If you are a kind and caring person who is polite to others no matter what personal difficulties you have, according to Smith, you are likely "pretending." Smith writes, "Everywhere I go, I find the church is basically the same: a building filled with deeply wounded people trying hard to pretend that everything is well" (Smith 95). In his thinking, we are so hypocritical that we even sing, "It is well with my soul," when our minds are in pain (Smith 95, 96). How shameful! Since, "All of us need emotional healing" (translate – Theophostics), we are performance-based persons for doing well, praying, smiling, being kind, singing hymns, and doing any other normal Christian activity. Smith writes, "Every person in every church everywhere (in pews and especially behind the pulpit) carries emotional pain at some level." In Smith's therapeutic world, "having emotional pain" is evidence of a lack of freedom. So we either submit to Smith's unbiblical, subjective, mystical experiences so we can be healed, or we go on "pretending."

In the "sermon" my wife and I listened to, Smith had the whole congregation raise their hands. He then declared that all those with raised hands needed healing. From his books and preaching, it is clear that Smith declares all people everywhere to need the sort of healing he is prescribing. For example, "Every person on the face of this earth is carrying some level of pain. We have all been infested with lie-based thinking" (Smith: 29). Keep in mind that lie-based thinking is from first memories of events that invoked the pain (according to Theophostic theory). Smith declares this a universal human condition. For example, he writes, "We are all lie-infested and in need of release" (Smith: 98). The release in Theophostics comes through a subjective experience that reinterprets first memory experiences.

So the release in this theory is not through the gospel, it comes through Theophostic ministry. He writes, "We are told to nail it to the cross and claim our victory. The sad truth is that it does not work, never has, and never will. This teaching has simply left many wounded hurting people in bondage to their lie-based pain and in a perpetual cycle of defeat" (Smith: 64). How can he say this? He explains: "The cross of Jesus was sufficient for all our sins and

emotional wounds, but sins and wounds must be dealt with differently" (Smith: 65). He teaches that "lie-based" thinking keeps us from freedom and causes additional sin. Again, the solution for "lie-based" thinking is Theophostics. So we cannot look to the cross which dealt with our sin or even have victory over sin until we get free from the universal human condition of having lie-based thinking caused by childhood memories. "Until we find freedom from these lie-based wounds, we will struggle with the consequential sins these wounds manifest" (Smith: 65). Smith makes sins the result of our wounds, not result of our lusts and our actions. We should not expect victory through the cross unless we have gone back and had a subjective revelation about first incident memories and replaced our false interpretations of the memories with God's revelation about what they really mean. According to the claims of the founder of Theophostics, the need for this is universal.

Let us consider the ramifications of the claim that everyone needs release from a condition that only Theophostics defines and cures. If indeed this condition is universal, then Smith has diagnosed nothing but "humanness." He has not distinguished a particular category of people from others, just humans in general. Now if he is speaking of "humans in general," then either he is describing the sin nature, or something innately human and not sinful. If not sinful we do not need a "cure" for it. If he is describing the sin nature and claiming that his particular process is the "cure for it," he is preaching a different gospel. Theophostics cannot "cure" the sin nature. The only plan God has for sin is the gospel and the only plan He has for Christians to live out their lives is through the Biblical means of grace.<sup>4</sup> So Theophostics either is a false gospel, a false "means of grace," or it is useless because it obviously cannot cure us from being "human." I believe it is a replacement for what the Bible provides for us. Smith has defined the entire population of the world as "clients" that need his product.

#### **THEOPHOSTICS AND SUBJECTIVISM**

A major claim that underlies Theophostic ministry is as follows, "Yet what we feel reveals the truth about what we truly believe. Our emotions expose our core beliefs" (Smith: 52). This means that if one believes that he or she is secure in Christ based on the cross, the blood atonement, and what God has done for him by grace through faith, yet has feelings of insecurity for whatever reason, then that person does

not really believe the gospel. One's cognitive belief is proven invalid by his own feelings. Thus no Christian can be secure without the appropriate feelings. Smith says:

We can choose to embrace logical truth in times of crisis, but generally we will submit to that which we "feel" is true rather than we "know" to be true. This is why people who administer Theophostic Ministry ask the person undergoing ministry what "feels" true, as opposed to what is true. What we feel is an indication of what we truly believe" (Smith: 82).

He teaches that feelings are the ultimate test of reality and that they trump any of our beliefs that are based on the objective teachings of Scripture. Smith states, "We feel what we believe" (Smith: 112). He makes some amazing statements: "Christians today have more truth than any generation in the history of the church, yet many do not walk in peace. A lack of peace indicates that there is a lie held in experiential memory" (Smith 107).

He defines peace differently than the Bible does. He is speaking of a lack of emotional pain as he makes clear throughout his book. The Bible defines peace more in terms of being right with God than a lack of emotional pain. He puts little stock in a "logical database of truth" (i.e. what a person learns from the Bible and believes). Writes Smith, "Again, the truth has to be experientially provided by the Holy Spirit to bring about genuine release of lie-based thinking" (Smith: 107). Keep in mind that "release of lie-based thinking" is what one gets through Theophostics and that "lie-based thinking" as defined by Smith is not a Biblical category but a modern psychological one.

If this is the case, that feelings are a true indication of our beliefs, then what about those who "feel" they are right with God when they are not? Those in Matthew 7 who said "Lord, Lord" had no self-doubts and felt that they were secure in Christ. But He said, "I never knew you" (Matthew 7:21-23). Conversely there are those who had no idea they had pleased Christ who are commended at the judgment. They say, "When did we ever feed You"? (Matthew 25:37). Our feelings can delude us every day. If we were to believe what Smith says how could we ever know what we truly believe? Our feelings would be upsetting our hope and confidence daily.

Does the Bible ever teach that we have to feel forgiven to be forgiven, or feel loved to be loved, or feel secure to be secure? No it does not! Conversely does the Bible teach that we can have security outside of our feelings? Yes:

*Little children, let us not love with*

*word or with tongue, but in deed and truth. We shall know by this that we are of the truth, and shall assure our heart before Him, in whatever our heart condemns us; for God is greater than our heart, and knows all things. (1John 3:18-20)*

John teaches the opposite of Theophostic principles. He does not ask his readers if they “feel loving” and tell others so. He tells them to love objectively, “in deed and truth.” He gives an objective test that will give his readers assurance. In John’s teaching the objective takes priority over the subjective — “in whatever our heart condemns us.” We may wonder if we are as loving as God wants us to be and even feel unloving at times. However, if we love in deed and truth, we have assurance. If we are still lacking inner (subjective) assurance, John assures us that God is greater than our hearts and knows the truth. We can find assurance in spite of our feelings. Smith teaches the opposite of Scripture: that if our heart condemns us (i.e. we feel insecure) but we believe the truth objectively, our true condition is revealed by our feelings not the objective truth. This is a direct denial of the teachings of the Bible.

When people asked Jesus about their neighbor who ought to be loved (one of the two key commands of the Law), He answered by giving the parable of the Good Samaritan (Luke 10). He gave objective evidence of what loving one’s neighbor looks like. He never asked them if they felt loving.

The subjectivism of Theophostics would put Christ and the Biblical writers in need of Theophostic ministry. For example, when Jesus said, “My God, My God, why have you forsaken me” (Mark 15:34), according to Theophostics Jesus feeling the wrath of the Father against sin was revealing His true belief, i.e. that He was indeed forsaken by the Father. Jesus did not ask why the Father rejected the sins of the world (which the Son was bearing), he asked “why have you forsaken Me.” In His humanity Jesus felt forsaken and cited the first verse of Psalm 22. In quoting that verse He identified with human sufferers through history who felt forsaken by God though they believed in Him. David trusted God yet felt forsaken.

However, Smith claims, “As much as we would like to believe otherwise, our emotions will always expose what we truly believe” (Smith: 52). This is contrary to Biblical fact. Job had many negative emotions, but still believed that God would vindicate Him. Consider this lament Psalm: “How long shall I take counsel in my soul, Having sorrow in my heart all the day? How long will my enemy be exalted over me?” (Psalm 13:2). The Psalmist was filled with anxiety and negative emotions.

However, consider how the Psalm ends: “But I have trusted in Thy lovingkindness; My heart shall rejoice in Thy salvation. I will sing to the Lord, Because He has dealt bountifully with me” (Psalm 13:5, 6). David’s faith gave him hope despite his feelings.

Smith repeatedly denies that this is appropriate and chides those who do so. He rebukes those who trust God’s promises in the midst of sorrows, saying, “We stand and proclaim ‘Victory in Jesus’ and ‘Standing on the Promises,’ while we live in secret defeat and emotional bondage. We call abstinence from sinning victory, when it is not” (Smith: 96). So, if we are in emotional pain (which Smith treats as if it were sin itself), yet stand on God’s promises and even abstain from sinning while doing so, we are nevertheless defeated! What a horrid disservice to all the righteous sufferers throughout history, including the Biblical ones. Paul said, “I have great sorrow and unceasing grief in my heart” (Romans 9:2). He fails Smith’s test miserably. Does the Bible promise to take away all emotional pain now, before the return of Christ? No!

Smith teaches that if we feel emotional pain we cannot forgive: “If we try to forgive while we are still feeling the pain of the offense, forgiveness will be impossible” (Smith: 126). This means that we have to be cured of all emotional pain first before we can obey God and forgive. The subjective (our feelings) trumps the objective (the command to obey). This is the case with nearly everything in Theophostic ministry. We have to get Theophostic ministry first before we can do what is pleasing to God. When Jesus was in the midst of the pain (both physical and emotional) of Calvary, He said “Father forgive them for they know not what they do” (Luke 23:34). He forgave while feeling the pain of rejection and hatred. Stephen did the same (Acts 7:60).

#### **BAD THEOLOGY WED TO POP PSYCHOLOGY**

Dr. Smith’s Theophostic training manual is entitled, “Beyond Tolerable Recovery.”<sup>5</sup> I have always wondered how Christians could be involved in the “recovery” movement given its presuppositions. The idea of “recovery” is that people come into the world in a pristine state, as their true “self.” This “self” is eventually despoiled by abuses, hurts, lies, learned behaviors, and survival mechanisms that cause a false “self” to be put forth, hiding the true “inner self or higher self” depending on the particular theory or terminology. Some use the terminology “inner child.” Recovery in most cases is about reclaiming the true pristine “self” that was who we were before all the

hurts and abuses. John Bradshaw, a New Age teacher, is a popular proponent of this theory.

Though Smith uses the term “recovery,” he does not teach that we come into the world pristine, but affirms that we are born with a “fallen nature” (Smith: 9). This confused me until I read his book through for the third time. I had to read it several times because it is such a confusing mixture of Biblical ideas and psychological terminology, but these are often given definitions that are not in the same category as the ideas of the Bible.

For example consider the word “truth.” In the Bible believing “the truth” so as to be saved means to respond to the gospel in repentance and faith. Those who reject “the truth” (the word truth with a definite article points to the objective content of the faith as taught by Christ and His apostles) are deluded and believe “the lie.”

Smith on the other hand relegates objective truth (the meaning of “the truth”) to “data and logical information” (Smith: 108) that is of little value. His “truth” is subjective: “All I am saying is that it is the Holy Spirit who ‘leads us into all truth’” (Smith: 108). He misquotes the Scripture. It says this: “But when He, the Spirit of truth, comes, He will guide you into all the truth; for He will not speak on His own initiative, but whatever He hears, He will speak; and He will disclose to you what is to come” (John 16:13). The promise was given to Christ’s apostles that the Holy Spirit would guide them into all “the truth.” Here it does not mean “everything that is factual” but everything that is in accordance with the doctrine of Christ. The passage that Smith cites has nothing to do with getting personal, subjective revelations about the meaning of one’s first memory experience and how one interprets it. But that is exactly what Theophostics is all about. He uses Scripture about “the truth” to justify getting subjective revelations supposedly from the Holy Spirit that may or may not be true. He writes, “Theophostic Ministry is a systematic means of helping people to position themselves at the feet of Jesus so He might do what He has promised” (i.e. lead them into “all truth”), (Smith: 108). This does not mean searching the Scriptures for what Jesus and His apostles objectively taught, but gaining personal revelations. John 16:13 does not justify this practice. It says, “He will disclose to you what is to come.” This He did and the apostles wrote this down in the New Testament. In Theophostics the Holy Spirit does not reveal “what is to come,” but the supposedly true meaning and interpretation of past memories. Smith continually confuses his readers by category shifts like this.

Confusion results when we try to

grasp Smith's meanings because he moves back and forth between Biblical terms that mean one thing in their context and his psychological use of the terms that mean something else entirely. However, I think I understand what he means by "recovery." It is found in his defective theology about the Christian life. Smith believes that Christians are so completely new that they no longer have a sin nature. He teaches that we are already "holy and blameless" (Smith: 162), making no distinction between what we are legally and what we are practically (as the Bible does).

Therefore, in his view, now that we are Christians we are no longer sinners struggling with a sin nature. We already have a divine nature states Smith (misusing 1 Peter 1:4) and we must be righteous or God would not live in our heart (Smith: 162). Thus being righteous should flow effortlessly out of our new nature, since sin has no power over us (Smith: 116, 117). So why do Christians still sin? Theophostic theory says, "However, when our pain is stirred, we will look for a means of dealing with what is stirred up which often means sinful choices and behavior" (Smith: 116).

Smith explains further that we do not have sin within that is stirred up, just pain from lie-based thinking:

Some would suggest that sin is rooted in the heart of the true Christian just as in the lost person. It is then from the sinful heart that the thought emerges which results in behavior. If this is true then there is no hope of present victory. If my heart is evil and sin-filled then the cross did not make me new (Smith: 162).

This is confused theology. If Smith is right, what was Paul talking about in Galatians 5, Romans 6 and many other passages about the Christian's struggle against sin?

Smith goes so far as to cite James 1:14-15 which directly contradicts what he teaches as support for his unbiblical theory:

[A]ccording to James 1:14-15 the sin process flows in a predictable fashion. First the enemy provides a temptation or life situation, which is tailored to trigger an original thought or experiential lie. The experiential lie is a belief, which was received during the life experience. We may or may not consciously think the original lie/thought in our current situation, but nevertheless it is aroused (Smith: 163).

James says we are drawn aside by our own "lusts." Smith says we do not have sinful hearts, but "experiential lies." What are these? He illustrates: "For example, if we are raised in an alcoholic home, we might learn a belief such as,

"Life is out of control and I am responsible to do something to remove the chaos" (Smith: 163). Smith has done another category switch to make James speak in 21st century psychological categories rather than in 1st century Biblical ones. James was not speaking about interpreting memories and forming beliefs from memories. He was speaking of "lust" which leads us to sin.

What does this all have to do with "recovery"? I will explain what appears to be the case based on the evidence in his book. Rather than recovering the pristine inner child like other versions of recovery, Smith posits a perfect, sinless new creation in Christ. This new creation still sins because of lie-based pain. Rather than having a sin nature that lusts against the Spirit (Galatians 5), he says we have a perfectly new heart but we just do not know it. As one works through his or her memories and has the Holy Spirit reinterpret the meaning of each memory with personal revelations, the lie-based pain is removed and, consequently, the motivation to sin. As this happens "memory by memory" (Smith: 44), the emotional pain leaves and the person "recovers" the perfect new creature they are in Christ (but do not know it experientially). This is a clever twist on the recovery movement that is laced with Biblical sounding ideas. It sounds wonderful since there will be no more "struggle" against sin and healing will be "maintenance-free" (Smith: 114, 115). If one does have emotional pain or react with a negative emotion, that is merely proof that there is another lie-based memory to be uncovered and reinterpreted by special revelation.

Smith says, "True victory is the absence of battle and struggle" (Smith: 43). That is what he offers us through Theophostics. Unfortunately the Biblical writers did not know about this marvelous struggle free life: "For consider Him who has endured such hostility by sinners against Himself, so that you may not grow weary and lose heart. You have not yet resisted to the point of shedding blood in your striving against sin" (Hebrews 12:3, 4).

Since Smith does assert faith in the cross and the gospel, what he is providing is a substitute for the Biblical means of grace. We start the Christian life through faith in the finished work of Christ and are perfected through Theophostics. The first pages of his book deny the efficacy of what God has provided for living the Christian life as revealed in Acts 2:42: "And they were continually devoting themselves to the apostles' teaching and to fellowship, to the breaking of bread and to prayer." Smith begins his book telling of "Shari" who had anxiety and tried prayer, Bible study, and Christian fellowship, none of

which worked. But lucky for her, her pastor had Theophostic training. He used this training to discover "early childhood experiences" and help her feel the terror and pain of a particular memory. She ended up having personal revelation from Jesus. Jesus told her that she was not "bad or shameful." This removed her pain, something that God's ordained means (prayer, Bible teaching, and fellowship — along with baptism and the Lord's supper) failed to do (Smith: 11-13). So the book begins by using a story to discredit the Biblical means of grace and replace them with personal revelations invoked by the Theophostic process.

What is "recovered" through Theophostic ministry is the holy, perfect person that we are as Christians. We do not enjoy the feelings and experience of being this pristine new creation because of "lie-based pain," not because of a sin nature. Removing the pain memory by memory uncovers the holy new creation person. This is what "recovery" apparently means.

#### EXAMINING THE PREMISE

I said earlier that we would return to the key premise of Theophostics. I cited it from page 31 of his book. He restates it many times. For example, "In like manner, when emotionally charged events occurred in our childhood, we interpreted them from the emotions we felt. These interpretations became our basic and guiding source of information for any future situation that was even remotely similar" (Smith: 81). I lost count of how many times Dr. Smith asserts this premise. Here is another one: "Whenever something painful happens to a child, the child will interpret that event and store the interpretation in the memory of the event. Even when the child becomes an adult, his or her interpretation of the painful event becomes the source of his or her present pain every time something or someone triggers the memory" (Smith: 50). He also includes "repressed memories" (Smith: 50). So if a person is having emotional pain and has no memory of an event that was the first cause, it is still there to be discovered through Theophostics.

This premise is reinforced through anecdotal evidence of the success of Theophostics — case after case where people who were healed of "lie-based pain" that was caused by a wrong interpretation of a childhood memory. Since he first published his counselor's manual in 1996, Smith has come under criticism. His 2002 book that I am citing in this article, is a "cleaned up" version that has removed some of the controversial claims and includes replies to critics. However, the basic premise has never changed: that our present pain has its roots in childhood memories

and their interpretation. Theophostics corrects these by offering a mystical experience where the person receives a revelation supposedly from the Holy Spirit about the memory and the correct interpretation of it.

Theophostics is utterly dependent on this premise. If it is not true that one's interpretation of a childhood memory causes "lie-based" pain, then Theophostics has no point. Here then is a key question, how do we know that the premise is true? We have two possibilities; either it is known through specific revelation or general revelation. Specific revelation is found only in the Bible. God has spoken in full and final revelation in the Bible (Hebrews 1:1, 2). According to Martin and Deidre Bobgan, Dr. Smith once claimed that he got Theophostics by special revelation from God.<sup>6</sup> Smith now denies that Theophostics is a revelation from God (Smith: 145). Since claims of special revelations beyond the Scripture are occultic and forbidden, he is right to give up such a claim if he ever made it.

The other possible category of knowledge is general revelation. This is the realm of what can be legitimately learned through the senses and human reason. We often call this "scientific" knowledge. The way we prove something to be known through specific revelation is through Biblical exegesis. The way we prove something to be known through general revelation is through controlled experimentation and valid scientific inquiry. This inquiry is subject to verification and requires scrutiny by experts in the field before it is accepted as "fact" and endorsed as a valid. Thus those making scientific claims are expected to cite their sources and leave a paper trail of evidence for their claims.

Smith's premise about "first memories" and their interpretation being the present cause of emotional pain is asserted throughout his book. Yet not once is a Scripture given to support the idea nor a scientific journal, study, or scholarly source cited to support the idea as science. The only evidence offered is anecdotal. This is not valid evidence. Anecdotal "evidence" can be found to "prove" everything from rosary beads to crystals, to grapefruit pills that will make you skinny no matter how much you eat. Someone will claim that nearly anything "worked for me." Infomercials continually exploit gullible, afflicted people citing anecdotal evidence for validation.

When challenged to prove that Theophostics is found in the Bible, Dr. Smith references the story of Peter's denial of Christ. He claims that, "Jesus exposed Peter's lie-based thinking" (Smith: 142). Take note once again, "lie-based" thinking is Smith's psychological terminology that finds its meaning in a childhood memory and its

interpretation. He made that clear early in his book. Now he claims Jesus was using "Theophostic principles" (Smith: 142). The proof is Jesus' "exposing" Peter through what happened that revealed "performance-based spirituality." As I said earlier, do not make the mistake of thinking that terms like "exposing," "lie-based thinking," "performance-based spirituality," or "memory-based pain," etc. are Biblical categories; they are not. But Smith uses these to "shoe-horn" the story of Peter's denial into modern pop psychology. Here is how Smith characterizes Jesus' interactions with Peter: "He was triggering and stirring up Peter's memory-based pain by way of association" (Smith: 143). So Jesus was a Theophostic counselor it seems.

There is a huge flaw in this reasoning besides the fact that it is horrible Biblical exegesis. The key premise of Theophostic ministry is that a first, childhood memory that was interpreted a certain way is the key to adult emotional responses and "lie-based" pain. If Jesus confronting Peter was a case of Theophostic principles at work in the Bible, where is the revelation of the childhood memory event that made Peter react the way he did? There is none. So the supposed Biblical proof lacks the key component that makes Theophostics what it is. Therefore, it is no proof at all. In fact there is no incident or teaching in the Bible that promotes the underlying premise of Theophostics. The premise is merely asserted over and over by Smith but never proven. We are just supposed to accept it on Smith's word that it is true. If the premise is false Theophostics is false.

### CONCLUSION

Since neither Biblical nor scientific evidence is offered for the key premise of Theophostics, there is no reason to take it seriously. In my many years of writing articles about various teachings that come through the church, rarely have I come across a teaching as convoluted and unbiblical as this one. Frankly, there is good reason to doubt that the experiences that people are having in Theophostics are from God. In these experiences they gain special revelations supposedly from the Holy Spirit about the meaning of childhood memories. They may be real experiences, but they are invoked under such unbiblical auspices that they should be considered dangerous. Theophostics is a process for gaining mystical experiences that promise freedom from sorrows now. The Bible does not promise freedom from all emotional pain in this life. Theophostics does. The Bible gives us the gospel as the only way for salvation and the sanctifying process that occurs

afterwards. Giving up the Biblical means of grace and the command to struggle against sin for the empty promise of a "struggle-free, maintenance free, pain free" life now is trading one's eternal hope through the gospel for a mystical experience that has nothing to do with the gospel or true sanctification. Such a trade is a very bad deal indeed.

---

### End Notes

1. Dr. Ed Smith, "The Performance Driven Church"; audio tape, Crystal Evangelical Free Church; New Hope MN; preached 11/09/2003.
2. Dr. Edward Smith, *Healing Life's Deepest Hurts*, (Vine Books: Ann Arbor, 2002) Smith writes, "The healing will be permanent and will require no maintenance to sustain it." 117. Through out the rest of this article I will use bracketed citations from this book in this manner (Smith: 117) within the text. That will make it easier for the reader to reference the book than would dozens of "ibid" endnotes.
3. The Greek New Testament mentions "the lie" four times: John 8:44; Romans 1:25; Ephesians 4:25; 2Thessalonians 2:11. John 8:44 directly links it to Satan's teaching. "The lie" is not just anything that is untrue, but a particular lie. It is directly apposed to "the truth," which is the gospel. The lie teaches us to trust man and seek forbidden knowledge, the truth teaches us to trust God and believe only what His Word teaches.
4. Besides the traditional "means of grace" as taught in Reformed Theology (Word and Sacrament), I would include the basics of the Christian life mentioned at the very birth of the church on Pentecost: "And they were continually devoting themselves to the apostles' teaching and to fellowship, to the breaking of bread and to prayer." (Acts 2:42).
5. Ed M. Smith, "Beyond Tolerable Recovery"; (Family Care Publishing: Campbellsville, Ky, 1996).
6. Martin and Deidre Bobgan, "Theophostic Counseling – Divine Revelation or PsychoHerese"; (Eastgate Publishers: Santa Barbara, 1999). The Bobgans document Smith's previous claims and statements about Theophostic Counseling on pages 6, 7.

Critical Issues Commentary  
P.O. Box 8068  
Minneapolis, MN 55408  
612-874-7484  
info@icministry.org  
<http://www.twincityfellowship.org>

Unless otherwise noted, all Scriptures taken from the New American Standard Bible, © Copyright 1960, 1962, 1963, 1968, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1975, 1977, 1988, 1995 The Lockman Foundation.

\*\*\*\*\*